



## **LLG Performance Assessment**

LLG Performance Assessment

Akayanja

(Vote Code: 273483)

**Score** 74/100 (74%)

No.	Performance Measure	Scoring Guide	Score	Justification
<b>Assessment area:</b> A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures				
1	The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards	Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.	2	<p>There was evidence that Akayanja Subcounty constituted PDCs with composition of 7 members for each of the 5 Parishes i.e Akayanja Parish, Rwakobo Parish, Nyankumba Parish, Rushororo Parish, and Nombe II Parish in accordance with the PDM Guidelines. The PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by Mobilisation of beneficiaries within each of the four parishes. The Minutes for mobilization meetings and reports for each of the three parishes were on file together with lists of the beneficiary enterprise groups and membership as follows:</p> <p>Akayanja Parish (15 groups-299 members), Rwakobo Parish (21 groups-322 members), Nyankumba Parish(11 groups-171 members), Rushororo Parish (15 groups-261 members) and Nombe II Parish (17 groups-275 members).</p> <p>All this Evidence was obtained from a file code named CBS file</p> <p>The LLG was compliant.</p>
Maximum score is 2				
2	LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.	Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.	0	No evidence was provided
Maximum score is 2				
3	The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish			<p>Akayanja Subcounty held a PDM awareness and sensitization meetings in each of the 5 parishes. The sensitization meetings were attended by a number of CBOs and NGOs as follows:</p> <p>-Meeting in Akayanja Parish was held on 24/05/2022</p> <p>-Meeting in Rwakobo Parish was held on 13/06/2022</p> <p>-Meeting in Nyankumba parish was held</p>
Maximum score is 6				

on14/06/2022

- meeting in Nombe II Parish was held on 08/06/2022 and

-meeting in Rushororo Parish was held on 03/07/2022

In all these meeting the assessor established that:

The representatives of these CBOs and NGO participated in the meetings as evidenced in the minutes of the meetings and the report of the awareness creation. The representatives as evidenced from the minutes rallied people of Akayanja Subcounty to embrace the PDM and interest themselves in planning for the development of their Parishes and subcounty at large by following up government projects, programs and funds like Road fund, UPE and USE capitation grants as well as PHC for health facilities. The attendance lists were on file as well as the mapping report.

The LLG was compliant.

Evidence that the LLG:

i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0

2

Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:

ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0

0

No evidence was provided

Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:

iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0

0

No evidence was provided

**Assessment area: B. Planning and Budgeting**

4

The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:

i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0

0

Development Plan was no seen

Maximum score is 6

The LLG provided evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:

ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.

2022/2023 Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson.

- Submission for Akayanja Parish was done on 11/01/2022

- Submission for Rwakobo Parish was done on 01/09/2021

- Submission for Nyankumba parish was done on 03/01/2022

- Submission for Nombe II Parish was done on 03/01/2022 and

- Submission for Rushororo Parish was done on 06/12/2021

All the three projects in the approved AWP and Budget were seen on the lists of the submissions from the Parishes which were duly endorsed by the Parish chiefs and LC2 Chairpersons (PDC Chairpersons).

The LLG was compliant.

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:

iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0

The LLG presented evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY 2022/23 were based on the outcomes of the budget conference. The Budget conference report dated 15/01/2022 was in place and the ranked priorities from each parish were presented and discussed in the budget conference which was held on 15/01/2022. Budget conference report had all the three projects in the approved Annual Work plan and Budget for the current FY 2022/23.

The LLG was compliant.

iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0

Analysis of Akayanja LLG Approved workplan and budget for FY 2022/2023 established inclusion of investments to be financed by the LLG. Namely

1-Construction of a sub-county community hall/office block to be funded by Revenue Sharing (UWA). This is also among other projects like:

1. Grading and shaping of Rushororo-Ekitimba Road 7Kms (DDEG funded)

2. Grading and shaping of Akayanja T/C-S/Cty Headquarters 2.5 Kms (DDEG funded)

The LLG was compliant.

v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0 0 Only 1 out of 3 projects had profiles developed.

vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0 1  
 The LLG budget was submitted to the District before 15th May 2022. The assessor was provided with evidence of submission letter dated 13th May 2022 which on file. The Letter was stamped received by Chief Administrative Officer's Office and by the District Planner on 13/May/2022.  
 The LLG was Compliant.

5 Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0 2  
 Maximum score is 2  
 The LLG presented evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY: 2022/23 to the CAO by the 30th April of the previous FY 2021/22. The submission letter was in place and dated 28th April 2022 stamped received by CAOs Office and Procurement and Disposal Unit on 28th April 2022.  
 The LLG was Compliant.

6 Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0 2  
 Maximum score is 2  
 Akayanja Subcounty was allocated DDEG totaling to UGX: 3,045,731/= for the FY 2022/2023. The analysis of the approved Budget for FY 2022/23 for Akayanja Subcounty provided evidence that the investments to be funded by DDEG i.e  
 1. Grading and shaping of Akayanja T/C-S/Cty Headquarters 2.5 Kms  
 at total cost of UGX 2,43,585/=equivalent to (80%) of the total DDEG IPF provided. This was in line with the provision of up to 80% of DDEG being spent on Capital works. The remaining funds were spent on Investment servicing Costs UGX: 304,573 (10%) and UGX 304,573 (10%) on Support to Parish Planning including data collection, monitoring all projects and programs in parish as per DDEG guidelines for FY 2022/2023. On Page 7.  
 The LLG was compliant.

**Assessment area:** C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

7 LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget Evidence that the LLG collected OSR the llg collected 96.9% of OSR budgeted as per the revised budget under minute 8/3/22 in the 14th

realization) Maximum score is 1	for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	1	line, the OSR was revised from 28,280,000/= to 23,280,0000/= in council meeting that sat 31/03/2022.
---------------------------------------	---	---	--

8 Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year. Maximum score 1	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	1	N/A: the llg become operational on the 1/7/2021
--	---	---	---

9 The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	0	the llg remitted OSR to lower administrative units however the transfer vouchers added up to 28.8% instead of 30%
	Evidence that the LLG: ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0	0	from AFS it was calculated and found out that the llg spent 24% of OSR on councilors' allowances
	Evidence that the LLG: iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0	0	from the AFS and transfer vouchers, the llg used 4.5% on operations and maintenance.  voucher no: 38/5/22, 35/6/2022, 37/06/2022
	Evidence that the LLG: iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.	0	the assessor was not able to see a publication of OSR collection and expenditure on either noticeboard or in any other publication

**Assessment area: D. Financial Management**

10 The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0	4	The llg submitted AFS on 30th/8/2022, as evidenced by a stamped hard copy of AFS from Auditor general
--	--	---	---

11

<p>The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>the Ilg submitted 1st quarter on 7/10/2021 evidenced by the submission letter stamped by planning and CAO'S office</p>
	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>the Ilg submitted 2nd quarter on 7/01/2022 evidenced by the submission letter stamped by planning and CAO'S office</p>
	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0</p>	<p>1</p>	<p>the Ilg submitted 3rd quarter on 4/4/2022 evidenced by the submission letter stamped by planning and CAO'S office</p>
	<p>Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:</p> <p>iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>the Ilg submitted 4th quarter on 5/7/2022 evidenced by the submission letter stamped by planning and CAO'S office</p>

**Assessment area: E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery**

12

<p>Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>The subcounty appraised all the Ilg staff including the extension workers by 30/6/2022 ie Nankunda Editor the parish chief ,</p>
	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>The subcounty has only two public primary schools ie Akayanja p/s,Kigarama p/s.</p> <p>No evidence was provided on appraisals of the head teachers of public primary school.</p>
	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(iii) HC III &amp; II In-charges in the previous</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>The Subcounty has no any health Centre in their locality.</p> <p>There fore no evidence of the any appraisals on</p>

	FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else		that.
13	Staff duty attendance	Evidence that the LLG has	The LLG has a staff list, staff structure, performance plan for all staffs, appraisal reports for the staffs, attendance register is a counter book are all seen .
	Maximum score is 6	(i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0	3
		Evidence that the LLG has	The subcounty has publicized a staff list on the notice board dated 16/3/2022.
		(ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0	3
			The subcounty has evidence of produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO.

**Assessment area: F. Implementation and Execution**

14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	2	The LLG did not have DDEG IPFs in FY 2021/22 when the LLG started. Therefore there was no expenditure incurred which hence could not be termed as Not eligible.
	Maximum score is 2			

15	The LLG spent the funds as per budget	Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2	0	The Assessor reviewed the annual financial statements on page 12 and established that the LLG had an approved budget of UGX 91,680,000/=. The LLG revised downwards its budget to 87,635,300/= the actual expenditure was 53,566,481/= representing 61.124% which represents a deviation of negative 38.9%. The execution of the budget deviated by more than +- 10% for main sectors. For example Works and Natural resources reported a deviation of (-100%) as the entire revised budget of UGX 31,901,400/= for Roads and 200,000/= for Natural resources were not realized at all.
	Maximum score is 2			The LLG was not Compliant.

16	Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget	Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) :		Akayanja Sub-county executed the following projects:
	Maximum score is 3	If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3	3	1-Tree Planting at the S/C headquarters.
		If 70% -90%: Score 2		2-Procurement of office furniture
		If less than 70 %: Score 0.		3-Procurement of an Office printer and Computer.
				All these were fully procured and completed using LRR and Start-up funds and are in use.
				Completion was at 100%



**Assessment area:** G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY  Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	0	the llg did not implement any project in FY 21/22 and had not planned to implement any project
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System  Maximum score is 2	(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0	1	The the llg had a complaint log book and at the end of previous financial year, it had 5 cases. the llg had a GRS i.e the path from when the complaint is registered upto when the solution/ feedback is given to the complainant. the responsible person was the CDO.  the lg had publicized the GRS on the noticeboard
		(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	1	the llg had put up on the llg noticeboard the chart flow of grievance redress mechanism
19	The LLG has a functional land management system  Maximum score 1	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0	0	the llg council nominated the area land committee in the council that sat on 14/11/2021 under the MIN:7/11/21. however the assessor did not see evidence of the appointment letters as well as committee minutes.

**Assessment area:** H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)

20	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY  Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0	3	A report on awareness campaigns and parents Mobilization was done with education stakeholders according to the minutes of the meeting held on 30/3/2022.
----	---	--	---	--

Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools	Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:		Akayanja sub-county monitored all schools as evidenced by monitoring report on primary education in Akayanja compiled on 1/4/2022 with list of issues to council.
Maximum score is 4	If all schools (100%) - score 4	4	1) Akayanja Primary school was monitored on 28/4/2022 and 27/8/2021.
	If 80 – 99% – score 2		(2) Kigarama primary school was monitored on 27/07/2021 and 1/4/2022.
	If 60 to 79% score 1		
	Below 60% score 0		

22 Existence and functionality of School Management Committees	Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0	3	Akayanja Sub-county has functional school management committees as evidenced by;  1. Akayaja primary school minutes of the meeting held on 19/5/2022, 20/2/2022, 7/2/2022 and 17/5/2022 with their respective action plan and extent of implementation.  2. kigarama SMC and PTA meeting held on 17/05/2022 with participants list action plan and extent of implemenation.
Maximum score is 3			

**Assessment area: I. Primary Health Care Services Management**

23 Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0	3	Akayanja LLG conducted awareness activities as evidenced by a report on primary health care awareness activities carried out in akayanja sub county during Q4 with details on Villages activities extent of work tartget households and recommendations.
Maximum score is 3			

24 The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY	Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0	4	Q1 report on Primary health care (PHC) activities done in the LLG highlighting objectives challanges, findings challanges and recommendations  Q2 report on PHC activities carried out in kayanja sub-county dated 23/11/2021  Q3 report on PHC activities done in the LLG on 24/3/2022  Q4 report on inspection of new building sites community meeting on health dated 10/5/2022
Maximum score is 4			

25 Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management	Evidence that the LLG have functional		LLG has no health unit, the people move to Sanga
---	---------------------------------------	--	--

Committee	Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0	3	health centre and is supervised by Sanga Town council
Maximum score is			
3			

**Assessment area: J. Water & Environment Services Management**

26	Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets	Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0	3	The subcounty has evidence of the writing requests submitted to DWO for consideration in this current FY. The subcounty was request for a communal tank at multiple primary school Akayanja.The writing requests were dated 14/4/2022.
Maximum score is				
3				
27	The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY	Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0	3	There is evidence that SAS monitored all aspects of water and environment services in the previous FY with the monitoring reports which were done on quarterly basis .quarter 1 report was dated 26/8/2021,quarter 2 dated 27/11/2021,quarter 3 dated 21/3/2022 and quarter 4 dated 15/6/2022.the reports bring out clearly the state the sources are in and how they are maintained and their general findings on the ground.the subcounty has 4 parishes and 4 water sources however some are not functional like rushororo bore hole is not working.
Maximum score is				
3				
28	Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees	Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0	2	The subcounty has 4 water sources and two are functional and 2 are also not functional.ie Rwakobo and bumarajara are functional.ekyapa and Rushororo are not functional.  There is evidence that the water user committees are functional and the composition of those water user committees with the minutes of Rwakobo borehole that sat on 30/6/2022.The chair person of the committee is Ainomugisha Norman.
Maximum score is				
2				
29	Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities	Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0	2	The subcounty has an updated list of water sources and their functionality status. The subcounty has reports submitted to DWO dated 30/may/2022.
Maximum score is				
2				

**Assessment area: L. Production Services Management**

34	Up to date data on agriculture and	If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data	
----	------------------------------------	---	--

<p>irrigation collected, analyzed and reported</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>the llg had updated production statistics and micro scale irrigation data on livestock population, crops in a report but the report was never <u>submitted</u> to lg production office</p>
<p>35 Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>The llg had hard copies of distribution lists of FMD, PPR vaccines, maize and dudu cypermethrine pesticide</p> <p>the llg had submitted sensitization reports to DPO on post-harvest handling, pest and disease control, farm technologies and sse of modern crush with attendance lists attached</p>
<p>36 The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>The extension workers submitted monitoring reports on post-harvest handling, pest disease control, milk hygiene and baseline data on micro scale irrigation. however some months never had monitoring reports.</p> <p>The Supervision report from SAS was compiled and submitted to LG Production Office.</p>
<p>37 Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>The llg carried out trainings on postharvest handling, FMD control, African army worm control, milk hygiene production, and BBW prevention. reports with attendance lists attached bore received stamp from lg production office</p> <p>the extension workers both the agriculturalist and veteriinary had work plans for for last year and the current year</p>
<p>38 The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>the llg carried out extension support to farmers through household visits on PPR &amp; FMD vaccines, identification of fungal infection in mangoes. reports were stamped by the office of lg production office</p> <p>sampled farmer Baturu Godfrey:0782779643 and Karuhang Mark:0782774963.</p>

Maximum score is 2 addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

The extension staffs didnot receive Extension diaries from the ministry, and information from LG Production office, they will soon be replaced with e-diaries.