



LLG Performance Assessment

LLG Performance Assessment

Kanyaryeru Subcounty

(Vote Code: 237102)

Score 82/100 (82%)

No.	Performance Measure	Scoring Guide	Score	Justification
Assessment area: A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures				
1	The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.	2	There was a submission of parish development committee On 01/6/2022 from CDO on all the four parishes ie Akaku,Kibega,Rwamuranda and Kanyryeru an evidence that Kanyaryeru Subcounty constituted PDCs with composition of 7 members. The PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by Mobilisation of beneficiaries within each of the four parishes. The Minutes for mobilization meetings and reports for each of the four parishes were on file together with lists of the beneficiary enterprise groups and membership as follows: Akaku Parish (13 groups-175 members), Kibega Parish (9 groups -120 members),Rwamuranda parish (13 groups-198) and Knyaryeru Parish (20 groups-205 members). The LLG was compliant.
2	LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines. Maximum score is 2	Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.	2	Parish data was disseminated by planning unit, the data that was disseminated was for the population projections and household projections for census 2014-2030 and 2015-2021 respectively .the population on animal census from the veterinary officer was disseminated
3	The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0 ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else	2	There was a mapping report from CDO dated 14/5/2022,there was also a report on the awareness training that involved all parish chiefs,cdos and CSOs .Recommendations also arose among them included facilitating the office to carry out activities the sub county was compliant There was Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY 2022/2023. The Sub-county Chief (SAS) wrote to all Village executive committees and PDCs on 3/11/ 2022 (Letter on file and was also on Notice board by the time of Assesment. The letter that was titled "community

score 0

mobilisation and sensitisation on enterprise selection for FY 2022/2023” .These were from participatory planning meetings from all parishes

Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:

iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0

2

There was evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish. The SAS communicated to all village executive committees and all PDCs on the priority enterprise in a letter titled “Re-approved enterprises for financing for FY 2022/2023” dated 18/01/2022, which was on file and noticeboard. The letter indicated different priority enterprises as follows

1. Grading and shaping of EKinunira-Kanyaryeru CAR
2. Fencing of sub county headquarters
3. Procurement of furniture for council and administration

This was evidenced with supervision and monitoring report by technical staff and political wing

The sub county is compliant

Assessment area: B. Planning and Budgeting

4

The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:

i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0

0

The AWPB had the following projects; fencing the sub county headquarters at 3,432,385= and grading of Ekinunira-Kanyaryeru CAR at 3,943,599=. The projects above were not derived from the LLG approved development plan III.

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:

ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.

1

Kanyaryeru Sub County Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson, submissions from Rwamuranda, Akaku, Kibega and Kanyaryeru parishes were made on 05/02/2022, 16/02/2022, 10/02/2022 and 16/02/2022 respectively. The investments in the budget were derived from the ranked priorities

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:

iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0

1

The budget conference was seen and dated 26/10/2021 and the projects were identified.

iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0	1	Kanyaryeru Sub county includes investments to be financed by the LLG as well as other funding sources. the investments are; fencing the sub county headquarters at 3,432,385= and grading of Ekinunira-Kanyaryeru CAR at 3,943,599=.
v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0	1	The project profiles for grading and shaping of Ekinunira-Kanyaryeru CAR and fencing of Kanyaryeru sub county headquarters was seen and attached on the AWPB.
vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0	1	The approved AWPB was submitted to the district on 12/05/2022

5 Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0	2	The procurement plan was submitted to PDU on 14/04/2022.
---	--	---	--

6 Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	0	The investments in the AWPB are fencing the sub county headquarters at 3,432,385= and procurement of council seats. Fencing does not comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines
--	--	---	--

Assessment area: C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration

7 LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization) Maximum score is 1	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	0	The OSR budget for FY 2021/2022 was 14,553,000= and actual collections was 9,871,000= which is 68.5% hence 31.5 % was not realized. This is not within +/- 10% of the budget.
---	---	---	---

8 Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year	Evidence that the OSR collected
---	---------------------------------

but one to last financial year. increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0 1
 Maximum score 1 The actual OSR for FY 2021/2022 is 9,971,000= and FY 2020/2021 is 7,902,337=. There was an increment of 26.17%

9 The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Evidence that the LLG:
 i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0. 0
 Maximum score 4 There was no evidence seen
 Evidence that the LLG:
 ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0 1
 Actual OSR for FY 2021/2022 was 7,902,337=. 20% is 1,027,303=. There was evidence of payment on 02/05/2022, 75,000=, 23/06/2022, 85,000= and 02/05/2022, 464,000= and 28/10/2021, 308,000=. Total amount spent was 932,000=.

Evidence that the LLG:
 iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0 0
 No evidence seen

Evidence that the LLG:
 iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0. 1
 OSR was publicized on the sub county notice board, this was showing how much was received and how it was utilized in different departments.

Assessment area: D. Financial Management

10 The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0 4
 Maximum score is 4 The AFS were submitted to Auditor General were submitted on 30/08/2022.

11 The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time: 1
 i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0
 The Sub county submitted Q1 financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on 10/10/2021.

Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:	1	The Sub county submitted Q2 financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on 05/01/2022.
	ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0		
	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:	1	The Sub county submitted Q3 financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on 12/04/2022.
	iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0		
	Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:	3	The Sub county submitted Q4 financial and physical progress reports including PDM, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on 28/07/2022.
	iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0		

Assessment area: E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery

12 Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY Maximum score is 6	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:		The LLG has 8 staffs and were all appraised but lately. For the few sampled i.e. Asimwe Anita, Nshimiye Franklin, Ampeire Ketty all appraised in August 2022.
	(i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0	0	Staff list of 24 staffs including health facility staffs seen. Staff Structure of 8 staffs seen. Performance plans for the staffs seen.
	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:		
	(ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0	0	Appraisals for the three Head Teachers was done in January 2022.
	Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:		
	(iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else	0	Health facility in charge Ndyahabwe Denis was appraised as of April 2022.

13	Staff duty attendance	Evidence that the LLG has		Staff list of 24 staffs including health facility staffs Published on the notice board.
	Maximum score is 6	(i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0	3	Performance plans for the staffs seen. Staff performance reports for the FY 2021/2021 seen Staff Structure of 8 staffs seen.
		Evidence that the LLG has		
		(ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0	3	Daily attendance register for staff was in place and the sub county chief analyzed the 12 months of staffs attendances..

Assessment area: F. Implementation and Execution

14	The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities	Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0	2	DDEG funds were spent on grading and murraming of Kanyaryeru CAR. This is on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG LLG investment menu.
	Maximum score is 2			
15	The LLG spent the funds as per budget	Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2	0	The approved budget was 199,548,865= and spent 53,548,523=.The deviation of the execution of budget in the previous FY deviates for any of the sectors/main programs by 73.2%.
	Maximum score is 2			
16	Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget	Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) :		
	Maximum score is 3	If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3 If 70% -90%: Score 2 If less than 70 %: Score 0.	3	The grading and murraming of Kanyaryeru CAR was completed as planned.

Assessment area: G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	The LLG has implemented environmental and social	Evidence that the LLG carried out		screening of development projects done: Grading of Akaku-Rwehongyera road with screening formdated 10/11/2021 and ESMP dated 10/11/2021 signed by Iitiima Saul EFP and Verified by the
----	--	-----------------------------------	--	---

safeguards during the previous FY	environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	2	Ag.DNRO Grading of Kanyaryeru Community Access road, with screening form dated 09/11/2021 and ESMP dated 09/11/2021 and signed by the EFP and Endorsed by the Ag. DNRO, Environmental and Social Certificate in place dated 15/June/ 2022 signed by the District Environment Officer
Maximum score is 2			
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System	1	Grievance Log book seen with 6 cases four concluded and 2 referred for further attention to the district. Grievance redress mechanism in place showing clear flow of feedback compiled by the CDO Itima Saul and endorsed by the SAS on 01/09/2022 a letter designating Itima Saul CDO as the Grievance redress Focal Person in place dated 16/5/2022.and signed by the SAS seen. Showing the activity on grievance handling seen but dated 17/5/2022, signed and stamped by the SAS referrel letter by the Nuwabine Edson SAS to CAO seen dated 30/10/2021
Maximum score is 2	(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0		
	(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0	1	The grievance redress mechanism seen and was hanged on the notice board- Griavance redress mechanism in place showing clear flow of feedback compiled by the CDO Itima Saul and endorsed by the SAS on 01/09/2022.
19	The LLG has a functional land management system	1	AN area land committee of five(5) members was seen with a appointment latters on file, appointed by the District Council under minute KFC/5/4/3/22 Kabwibwita George appointed on 21/06/2022. Mugumya Andrew appointed on 21/06/2022 Nathan Turyaheebwa appointed on 21/06/2022 Kyakuhaire Jolly appointed on 21/06/2022 Kirinkiza Godfrey appointed on 21/06/2022 by the SAS on behalf of the Sub county Chairperson. minutes of the committee in place signed by SAS as secretary and Mr Kabwibwita Geroge C/P Area land committee for the previous FY.
Maximum score 1	If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0		

Assessment area: H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)

20
Awareness campaigns and mobilization on

<p>education services conducted in last FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 3</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>Kanyaryeru sub-county conducted awareness activities in education as evidenced by the report on activities on awareness raising and mobilization in education sector compiled on 12/05/2022.</p>
<p>21</p> <p>Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools</p> <p>Maximum score is 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:</p> <p>If all schools (100%) - score 4</p> <p>If 80 – 99% – score 2</p> <p>If 60 to 79% score 1</p> <p>Below 60% score 0</p>	<p>4</p>	<p>All schools were monitored as per the following monitoring reports;</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Rwamuranda Primary school was monitored on 16/06/2022, 15/6/2022 and 18/02/2022 2. Kanyaryeru primary school was monitored on 27/3/2022 and 23/06/2022 3. Kaku primary school was monitored 14/6/2022 and 2/3/2022 4. L.Mburo junior school was monitored on 5/5/2022 and 10/2/2022 5. Multi-care junior school was monitored on 2/5/2022 and 17/2/2022 6. Words of hope Nursery and Junior school was monitored on 12/5/2022 and 15/2/2022 7. Kibega Junior School was monitored on 19/5/2022 and 21/2/2022 8. Kikoma nursery school was monitored on 6/5/2022 and 2/2/2022 9. Good hope Nursery and primary school 24/2/2022 and 25/5/2022
<p>22</p> <p>Existence and functionality of School Management Committees</p> <p>Maximum score is 3</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0</p>	<p>3</p>	<p>Kanyaryeru has functional school management committees in all schools as evidenced by minutes of schools committees as follows.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1) Kanyaryeru primary school meeting was held 10/3/2022 selected the new committee composed of 6 members under min 7. A general meeting was held and there was an implementation report by the PTA chairman and made a budget for term 2. Another meeting held on 27/05/2022 for the executive committee. 2) Kaku primary school held a joint SMC and PTA meeting on 14/06/2022, 08/02/2022, 07/10/2021, 17/02/2021 and 14/06/2022 had attendance lists and extent of implementation. <p>Rwamuranda Primary school 14/10/2021 making term 3 Budget min 7, 17/ 6/ 2021 receiving the budget, 11/2/2021 making p6 budget.</p>

23	<p>Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 3</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0</p>	3	<p>Kanyaryeru Sub- County conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery as evidenced by reports; (1) Report following Akaku community meeting on malaria prevention and control held on 20/4/2022 as per the minutes of the meeting held on 20/04/2022 and compiled on 22/4/2022.</p> <p>(2) A report on community led total sanitation rapport and triggering activities in Kanyaryeru sub-county with details of mapping, methods used, pictorials and recommendations.</p>
24	<p>The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 4</p>	<p>Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0</p>	4	<p>Kanyaryeru monitored aspects of health service delivery FY 2021/22 as evidenced by (1) report on activities conducted in second quarter with Pictorials and status of latrines in all parishes compiled on 23/12/202. (2) Hygiene and sanitation report for the fourth quarter compiled on 17/6/2022. (3) A report following an inspection of Kanyaryeru primary school compiled on 18/3/2022. (4) A report on inspection of laka mburo junior school compiled on 23/6/2022. (5) A report following health education at Kanyaryeru at Compassion child development centre (CDC)</p>
25	<p>Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee</p> <p>Maximum score is 3</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0</p>	3	<p>The HUMC committee was in place. It has 6 members. all the 6 members have appointment letters.The HUMC has had meetings as evidenced by the the minutes of the meeting held on 28/9/2021 , 21/12/2021 , 24/3/2022 and 30/5/2022 all these have action plans and extent of implementation and no action plan and extent of implementation.</p>
Assessment area: J. Water & Environment Services Management				
26	<p>Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets</p> <p>Maximum score is 3</p>	<p>Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0</p>	3	<p>From District water Office, a request letter for water consideration into the next FY dated 01/06/2022 by SAS was obtained and reviewed.</p>
27	<p>The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY</p>	<p>Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including</p>	3	<p>The 4 reports on water and environment monitoring/supervision reports were obtained and reviewed and they were submitted by SAS to District</p>

	Maximum score is 3	review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0		Water Office, and new and old facilities were covered.
28	Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees	Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community contributions) score 2, else score 0	0	From SAS, Action plan and extent of implementation was compiled, 6 water user committees of Water and Sanitation was also reviewed. However, from SAS, the minutes of meetings, and Use of Community Contributions for Water Source Maintenance were not obtained.
	Maximum score is 2			
29	Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities	Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0	2	The updated 4 monitoring reports showing the water and sanitation status including Functional, non-functional, and functional not in use submitted to District Water Office were obtained
	Maximum score is 2			

Assessment area: L. Production Services Management

34	Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported	If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	2	The Agriculture officer had the report on agricultural statistics on banana, coffee, no of cattle, goats, and total number of farmers in respect to the villages within the sub-county, data on livestock statistics, and production statistics on farmers who milk 200ltrs per day were all compiled and submitted to LG Production Office.
	Maximum score is 2			
35	Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings	If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	2	Sensitization report on milk hygiene/quality milk production compiled on 30th jun, and submitted on 14th july, 18th dec, 2021. Distribution list of FMD vaccine compiled on 29th march, 22 and submitted, distribution list of OWC beneficiaries compiled on 5th july 21 and submitted. FMD vaccine distribution list compiled and submitted. Training report on better farming methods towards high production yields compiled and submitted, training report on milk hygiene compiled and submitted, training on control of FMD compiled and submitted, training on good animal husbandry practices also compiled and submitted to LG Production Office. In crop, training on control of AAW compiled and submitted, distribution list of fertilizer submitted, distribution list for agricultural inputs compiled and submitted, training on control of banana rust compiled and submitted, to LG Production Office.
	Maximum score is 2			

36	<p>The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0</p>	0	<p>Crop sector Extension Staff had the 12 monthly monitoring reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office. whereas the Animal sector Extension staff had only 9 monthly monitoring reports out of 12 compiled and submitted to LG Production Office.</p> <p>From SAS, A supervision report was compiled and submitted to LG production office on 30th Jun 2022</p>
37	<p>Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.</p>	2	<p>Consolidated training program by both crop and animal was reviewed with activities approved by SAS and submitted to LG Production office.</p> <p>In crop, training reports on control of AAW, farmers sensitization on cause and control of Banana rust,, household training on agronomic practices on model farms were all compiled and submitted to LG Production.</p> <p>In animal, training on better farming methods towards high production yields,milk hygiene,control and prevention of fmd, good animal husbandry, compiled and submitted sensitization report on fmd.</p> <p>All the training reports presented had the attendance sheets</p>
38	<p>The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0</p>	2	<p>In crop, farm field visit were compiled and submitted, filed visit reports on capacity building, household training on agronomic practices, field report on milk hygiene and report of mango fruit demonstration site compiled and submitted to LG Production office.</p> <p>Sampled a farmer in the names of Rwentaro Benon on 0701339666 and confirmed that he received extension knowledge on production of clean quality milk from Veterinary Officer.</p> <p>The Extensionndiaries were not provided by the Ministry, and according to LG Production Office, they will soon be replaced by e-diaries</p>