



LLG Performance Assessment

LLG Performance Assessment

Sanga Town Council

(Vote Code: 237109)

Score 80/100 (80%)

No.	Performance Measure	Scoring Guide	Score	Justification
Assessment area: A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures				
1	<p>The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.</p>	2	<p>There was a submission of ward development committee On 28/6/2022 for all the four wards ie Sanga ,Nombe ,Nkongoro and Ekizimbe an evidence that Sanga town council constituted PDCs with composition of 7 members In accordance with PDM guidelines. The PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by Mobilization of beneficiaries within each of the four wards.</p> <p>The Minutes for mobilization meetings and reports for each of the four wards were on file together with lists of the beneficiary enterprise groups and membership as were all seen on a marked green file called PDM activities and their enterprise groups and in a box marked PDM enterprise groups</p> <p>The LLG was compliant.</p>
2	<p>LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.</p>	2	<p>Parish data was disseminated by planning unit, the data that was disseminated was for the population projections and household projections for census 2014-2030 and 2015-2021 respectively .the population on animal census from the veterinary officer was disseminated</p>
3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0</p>	0	<p>Mapping was seen on file as recorded by SDC on 22/6/2022,a report of the activities and services offered in the town council were also seen on the file however there were no awareness meeting with NGOs ,CBOs and CSOs on PDM activities</p> <p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the ward for the current FY 2022/2023 as was seen on the letter dated 01/10/2021 from SAS to all PDC,ward executive committee and parish chiefs .</p>

Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:

ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0

The letter required them to conduct community mobilization and sensitization on enterprise identification for the FY 2022/2023

From the consultative meeting held at sanga ward on 25th /10 /2021 some enterprises were identified and submitted to the town clerk .The submission of the enterprises were submitted to the town clerk by the chairperson PDC and among them included garbage management and street cleaning in sanga town

From the consultative meeting held at Nombe ward on 28th /10 /2021 some enterprises were identified and submitted to the town clerk .The submission of the enterprises were submitted to the town clerk by the chairperson PDC and among them included burseries and security

From the consultative meeting held at ekizimbe ward on 26th /10 /2021 some enterprises were identified and submitted to the town clerk .The submission of the enterprises were submitted to the town clerk by the chairperson PDC and among them included retooling of schools

From the consultative meeting held at Nkongoro ward on 26th /10 /2021 some enterprises were identified and submitted to the town clerk .The submission of the enterprises were submitted to the town clerk by the chairperson PDC and among them included retooling of schools and bursaries

The town council was compliant

Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:

iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0

The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the ward. The TC communicated to all village executive committees and all PDCs on the priority enterprise in a letter titled approved enterprises for financing in the FY2022/2023 dated 8th /6/2022 which was on file and a copy to the noticeboard .The list of projects which were approved by council under minute MINSTC/FC/34/05/2022-23 had the following projects

Bursaries and retooling in all wards at UGX9,000,000 and UGX 3,000,000,public toilet at Nkongora UGX 1,200,000,Gabage management at UGX 5,000,000 in sanga ward,Verminse at UGX 1,200,000 in Ekizimbe ward and serving damping land at UGX4,000,000 Nombe ward

The reports on follow up on the enterprises by the agriculture extension workers, inspection

and monitoring reports by SAS were also provided as evidence for this.

The LLG was compliant.

Assessment area: B. Planning and Budgeting

4	<p>The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0</p>	1	<p>The AWPB is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III because the projects in the AWPB were derived from the plan. Projects are; Supply of furniture to primary schools, land titling and tree planting.</p>
6	<p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.</p>	1	<p>The Town Council Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson as evidenced by submissions of Nombe, Sanga, Ekizimbi and Nkongoro wards on 01/11/2021, 01/11/2021, 02/11/2021 and 03/11/2021 respectively. The budgeted projects were derived from the ranked priorities.</p>
		<p>Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:</p> <p>iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0</p>	1	<p>There was evidence of discussing the investment profiles in the budget conference held on 09/11/2021 for example land titling/surveying, construction of service lanes on page 5 of the report.</p>
		<p>iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0</p>	1	<p>Sanga Town Council AWPB has investments to be financed by the LLG as well as other funding sources e.g land titling, low cost sealing of Sanga-Lake Mburo road, tree planting</p>
		<p>v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0</p>	0	<p>The AWPB had no attachments of the project profiles for all capital investments to be implemented in the current FY</p>
		<p>vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before 15th May: score 1 or else 0</p>	1	<p>The budget was submitted to the district on 12/05/2022</p>
5	<p>Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0</p>	2	<p>Sanga Town Council submitted the procurement plan to PDU for Current FY on 17/04/2022</p>
	<p>Maximum score is</p>			

6	<p>Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0</p>	0	<p>The prioritized investments for the current FY to be implemented using DDEG include opening the service lane, land titling and supply of desks to primary schools. opening the service lane does not comply to the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines</p>
Assessment area: C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration				
7	<p>LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization)</p> <p>Maximum score is 1</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.</p>	0	<p>The OSR budgeted for FY 2021/2022 was 76,700,000= and the actual collected was 63,289,775= . The performance was 82.5% meaning 17.5% was not realized.</p>
8	<p>Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year.</p> <p>Maximum score 1</p>	<p>Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0</p>	1	<p>The actual OSR collected in FY 2021/2022 is 63,289,775= and FY 2020/2021 is 49,532,835=. This shows an increment of 27.8%.</p>
9	<p>The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY</p> <p>Maximum score 4</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.</p> <p>ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0</p>	1	<p>The LLG remitted the mandatory share of OSR, 1,600,000= was paid on 18/12/2021 as evidenced on Vr. No 18/12 and 400,000= on 19/12/2021 as evidenced on Vr. No 19/12.</p>
		<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0</p>	1	<p>Actual collections FY 2020/2021 is 49,532,835=, 20% is 9,906,567=. The expenditure on councilors allowances FY 2021/2022 was 9,750,000=.</p>
		<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0</p>	0	<p>There was no evidence seen</p>

Evidence that the LLG:

iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.

1

Sanga Town Council Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY on the Town Council notice boards.

Assessment area: D. Financial Management

10

The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time

Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0

4

The AFS were submitted to Auditor General on 19/08/2022

Maximum score is 4

11

The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

1

The LLG submitted Q1 financial and physical progress report for the previous FY on 11/10/2021

i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

1

The LLG submitted Q2 financial and physical progress report for the previous FY on 06/01/2022

ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

1

The LLG submitted Q3 financial and physical progress report for the previous FY on 12/04/2022

iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

3

The LLG submitted Q4 financial and physical progress report including PDM for the previous FY on 08/07/2022

iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0

Assessment area: E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery

12

Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY

The staffs were appraised; individual appraisal form stamped by Town Clerk as of 28/6/2022. For the few sampled i.e. Tumukunde Irene, Arinaitwe Lydia Addy and Oryongatum George

Maximum score is 6	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0</p>	2	<p>were all appraised on 28/6/2022,</p> <p>A staff list of 28 staffs including health workers seen.</p> <p>Staff structure with 55 established positions seen.</p> <p>Performance plan for 2022/2023dated 28/6/2022 seen.</p>
	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0</p>	0	<p>The town council has three primary schools ie kakagate,Bisheshe and Sanga parents primary schools .The head teachers of these schools are Adizeye Charles file number KR/N/062,Kanyesigye Donatilla file number KR/K/115 and Baguma John file number KR/B/070,all were appraised in January 2022</p>
	<p>Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:</p> <p>(iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else</p>	2	<p>The town council has only one heath centre II and the in-charge is by the names of Okisia Norman .He was appraised on 28/6/2022</p>
13 Staff duty attendance	<p>Evidence that the LLG has</p> <p>(i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0</p>	3	<p>A staff list of 28 staffs including health workers and is published on the notice board.</p> <p>Staff structure with 55 established positions seen.</p> <p>Performance report for the financial year 2021/2022 seen.</p> <p>Performance plan for 2022/2023dated 28/6/2022 seen.</p>
Maximum score is 6	<p>Evidence that the LLG has</p> <p>(ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0</p>	3	<p>A daily attendance register for the staffs seen and a set of 12 monthly staff analysis was compiled by the Town clerk</p>

Assessment area: F. Implementation and Execution

<p>14</p> <p>The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0</p>	0	<p>The DDEG funded projects implemented in the previous FY were not identified</p>
---	--	---	--

15	The LLG spent the funds as per budget	Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2	2	The budget was 641,806,937= and actual expenditure was 641,806,937= as derived from the final accounts for previous FY. There is evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%
16	Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget	Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) :		
	Maximum score is 3	If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3 If 70% -90%: Score 2 If less than 70 %: Score 0.	3	All the planned projects were completed. These are ; low cost sealing of Sanga-Lake Mburo road, Land titling and tree planting.

Assessment area: G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17	The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY	Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0	0	Environmental, Social and Climate change screening done screening of tarmacking of 1km dated 2/02/2022 and a project project brief prepared by Senior environment Officer dated 2/02/2022. Grading of Kyamani Road done dated 14/08/2021 and signed by AEO/ Town engineer. ESMP dated 24/08/2021 installation of five lines of culverts dated 24/10/2021 , ESMPs not availed grading of Sanga-Kibega road dated 4/03/2022, ESMP not available
18	The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System	(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0	1	Grievance log book with 9 cases registered in FY 2021/22, eg one Ruth recorded on 23/06/2022 on child neglect, Owembabazi Bonny accusing husband of domestic violence. letter designating Tibaingana Moses , SCDO as the focal person for handling Grievance dated 12/5/2022 by the Town clerk. Greviance redreess mechanism in place and formal description well spelt dated 28/6/2022 signed by SCDO and stamped, hanged on the LLG public notice board at the council hall.
		(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance		Greviance redreess mechanism in place and formal description well spelt dated 28/6/2022

redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0 1 signed by SCDO and stamped, hanged on the LLG public notice board at the council hall.

19 The LLG has a functional land management system
Maximum score 1
If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0 1
All the five appointment letter for the five members in place dated 30/8/2021, signed by Town clerk and stamped.
Kamukundire Elivaida, Kajunguri Godfrey, Barekye Remigeo, Tumuhurire Benon, Bahukire Florence.
minutes for the ALC in place dated 10/2/2022 attended by five members discussed on bribes in land administration, handling park land issues signed by the chair person and secretary.

Assessment area: H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)

20 Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY
Maximum score is 3
Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service delivery score 3, else score 0 3
Report on awareness campaigns and parent mobilization for improvement of education in Sanga TC done 2/6/2022 was seen and submitted to Town clerk by the senior community development officer. Another one was done on 7/6/2022 ,6/6/2022 and 31/05/2022. The reports were compiled on 22/6/2022.

21 Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools
Maximum score is 4
Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY:
If all schools (100%) - score 4 4
If 80 – 99% – score 2
If 60 to 79% score 1
Below 60% score 0
Sanga Town Council monitored schools as evidenced by the monitoring report compiled on 24/6/2022 for all schools with the list of issues requiring attention to the committee. Each school was monitored as follows;
1. Kakagate primary school was monitored on 22/6/2022 and 19/01/2022
2. Bisheeshe primary school was monitored 21/06/2022 and 19/01/2022
3. Sanga parents' school 17/6/2022 and 20/6/2022
Sanga Town council also monitored the private schools as follows;
1. Joran Primary school 21/01/2022 and 18/5/2022
2. Guardian primary school 21/01/2022 and 18/5/2022
3. Ntura primary school 27/01/2022 and 18/6/2022
4. Parental care 22/6/2022 and 19/01/2022

Existence and functionality of School Management Committees

Maximum score is 3

Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0

3

The school management committees are in place, functional and implementing agreed actions as evidenced by 1. Sanga parents' school

Sanga parents' school SMC and PTA executive committees joint meeting held on 21/2/2021, 10/2/2022 and 2/6/2022 with their respective action plans and extent of implementation.

Bisheeshe primary school Joint meeting of SMC and PTA 11/7/2021, 9/2/2022, 31/3/2022 and 26/05/2022 with their respective action plans and extent of implementation.

Kakagate Primary School meeting held 16/5/2022 and 19/01/2022 with action plans and extent of implementations.

Assessment area: I. Primary Health Care Services Management

23

Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY

Maximum score is 3

Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0

3

Primary health care awareness report on community engagement meetings to improve general sanitation and hygiene held on 14th, 28th, 29th and 31st March 2022, attendance lists with pictorials. Activity report for the sanitation week events carried out in Sanga town council 15-22 March, 2022. With pictorials and attendance lists. Mobilization report on immunization activities for Sanga HC IIII 22/12/2021. A report on surveillance activities carried out in Sanga TC highlighting outreaches.

24

The LLG monitored health service delivery at least twice during the previous FY

Maximum score is 4

Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY, score 4 or else score 0

4

Sanga Town Council monitored aspects of health service delivery as evidenced by monitoring reports compiled on 20/8/2021 and 2/7/2021 highlighting performance of immunization outreaches, sanitation and hygiene.

25

Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee

Maximum score is 3

Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0

3

The Health unit management committee (HUMC) is in place and is composed of 5 committee members. It is functional as indicated by their minutes held on 23/06/2022, 30/3/2022, 13/12/2021 and 30/9/2021 with their respective action plans and extent of implementation.

Assessment area: K. Urban Planning and Management (Applicable to Town Councils and Divisions only)

Development of the Physical Development Plans as per guidelines

Maximum score 2

(i) If the LLG has a functional Physical Planning Committee in place that: (i) is properly and fully constituted; (ii) considers new investments/ application for development permission on time; and (iii) has submitted at least 4 sets of minutes of Physical Planning Committee to the MoLHUD Score 1 or else 0

0

A well constituted Physical planning committee member in place appointed by the Town clerk, including Lucky Julius on Private survey, Staff surveyor, Physical Planner, Environment Officer, Supretendant of works, Health Inspector, AEO/ Town EngineerTown clerk as the chair person.

Four sets of minutes in place: Q1 08/07/2021 signed and stamped

Q2 25/10/2021 signed and stamped

Q3 dated 09/3/2022

Q4 dated 4/5/2022

(i) If the LLG has detailed physical development plan(s) or/and area action plan(s) approved by the Council covering at least the percentage below Score 1 or else 0:

20% in 2022/23

30% in 2023/24

40% in 2024/25

0

No Physical Development Plan Approved by MoLHUD, A draft plan for the period between 2016-2026 in place.

Implementation of the physical planning and building control measures as per guidelines

Maximum score 3

(i) If all infrastructure investments implemented by the LLG in the previous FY: (i) are consistent with the approved Physical Development Plan; and (ii) have a planning compliance certificate issued by MoLHUD. Score 1 or else 0

0

No infrastructure development plan was implemented

(ii) Evidence that the LLG has named streets, numbered plots, surveyed and demarcated roads as planned (90% or more implemented) in the previous FY score 1 or else 0

0

The LLG did no NName, Number plots, Survey and demarcate roads in the Previous FY

(iii) Evidence that the LLG has a functional Development Control Team score 1 or else 0

The Local Development Control team in place composed of Ahereza Gilbert(Bulding Inspector), Tugume Smith(Physical Planner), Bainomugisha Dikson(Assistant Engineering Officer)

an Organogram in place dated 02/07/2021, signed and stamped by the Town Clerk

a development control work plab in place with total budget of 19,010,000 prepared by the Physical Planner

32	The LLG has developed and implemented a solid waste management plan	(i) If the LLG has prepared status report on the implementation of the approved solid waste management plan during the previous FY score 1 or else 0	1	A solid waste management plan in place prepared by the Health Inspector and Approved by the Town clerk on 22July 2021.
				A report on levelling of waste dumping site in place dated 31/03/2022and received by the TC on the same date.
				A report on community engagement for improvement of sanitstion and hygiene in place dated 31/03/2022 by signed the health inspector
		(ii) If the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on the management of solid waste during the previous FY score 1 or else 0	1	A report on community engagement for improvement of sanitstion and hygiene in place dated 31/03/2022 by signed the health inspector with attendance list of 25 attached
33	Operation and Maintenance of infrastructure	(i) If the LLG has prepared Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey report score 1 or else 0	1	Infrastructure inventory report in place dated 17/07/2021 nprepared by Dickson Bainomugisha and received by Town Clerk. cocering a network of 62.52Km and 26 roads including streets
				O and M work plan in place prepared by AEO/ Town Engineer and this includes Kibega-Ntura road(8.64Km), Opening of streets, Opening of service lanes, Grading of Kikagate Kasharara road(%6km) approved by the Town clerk on 7/7/2022, these were in the Inventory report.
		(ii) If the LLG has prepared an O&M Annual Plan which is based on the Annual Infrastructure inventory and condition survey score 1 or else 0	1	
		(iii) If the LLG has spent own source revenues of not less than 20% on O&M score 1 or else 0	0	The Percentage of OSR spent on O&M was less than 20%. total OSR for the LLG was 63,289,755, and 7,862,000 spent on O&M making a percentage 12%

Assessment area: L. Production Services Management

34	Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported	If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	2	Reports on livestock statistics showing number of cattle, goats, sheep, pigs etc was compiled on 18th June, and submitted to LG Production office.
				Statistical and crop production data with key crop commodities like coffee, banana was compiled and submitted to LG Production Office.
35	Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried			Awareness reports on control of Tick-Borne Diseases, Foot and Mouth disease,supervison nd training of farmers on field demonstration

<p>out through farmer field days and awareness meetings</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>sites in animal were all compiled and submitted to LG Production Office.</p>
<p>36 The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0</p>	<p>0</p>	<p>Monthly monitoring reports of demonstration sites, surveillance of pests, disease and post-harvest handling were compiled and submitted to LG Production Office, however, the provided monthly monitoring reports could not match the required number of monthly reports required from the Extension staff.</p> <p>The supervision report on Production from ATC was not provided, hence taken as not compiled and submitted to LG Production office.</p>
<p>37 Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>A detailed consolidated training program for both crop and animal was compiled and submitted to LG Production Office.</p> <p>Training reports on pests, diseases and weed control, control of coffee twig borer, and blister management, tomato farming, in crop were all compiled and submitted to LG Production Office.</p> <p>In Animal, training reports on good quality milk production at farm level, sensitisation, on herd health management, good quality meat production and market linkages were all compiled and submitted to LG Production Office.</p> <p>All training reports in Animal and crop had attendance sheets.</p>
<p>38 The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2</p>	<p>2</p>	<p>Field reports on advisory of model farmers,, training famers on good livestock management practices at household level, report on disease diagnosis, control and prevention at household level, report on milk value addition were all compiled and submitted to LG Production Office by Assistant Veterinary Officer.</p> <p>In crop sector, field visit on post harvest handling, coffee twig borer and blister management, were compiled by Agriculture Officer and submitted to LG Production Office.</p> <p>Sampled a farmer in names of Ndyabishanga Lauben on 0788053844 and confirmed that he</p>

or else 0

received extension knowledge from Agriculture Officer on coffee, pest control.

Also sampled another farmer in names of Byoruganda on 0789755229 and confirmed to have received extension services from the Ass. Veterinary Officer on piggery, and good breeding techniques.