



LLG Performance Assessment

LLG Performance Assessment

Sanga Subcounty

(Vote Code: 237103)

Score 93/100 (93%)

No.	Performance Measure	Scoring Guide	Score	Justification
Assessment area: A. Functionality of Parish Administrative Structures				
1	<p>The LLG has ensured that there are functional PDCs/WDCs in all their respective Parishes/Wards</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG has duly constituted PDCs/WDCs with composition in accordance with the PDM Guidelines, and that PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by mobilization of beneficiaries within a parish/ward, appraisal of all proposals submitted for the revolving funds during the previous FY for all parishes, score 2, else score 0.</p>	2	<p>There was a submission of parish development committee of all the three parishes ie Rwabarata, on 15/3/2022, Rwamuhuku on 16/3/2022 and Nombe I on 16/3/2022 an evidence that Sanga Sub county constituted PDCs with composition of 7 members in accordance with the guidelines as seen on page 30 of the PDM manual . The PDCs are fully functional as evidenced by Mobilisation of beneficiaries within each of the three parishes sensetsation /training report. The Minutes for mobilization meetings and reports for each of the three parishes were on file together with lists of the beneficiary enterprise groups and membership as follows: Rwabarata 16 groups and 165 members, Rwamuhuku 59 groups and 292 members while Nombe I 8 groups with membership of 147 .</p> <p>The LLG was compliant.</p>
2	<p>LLG has ensured that all Parish Chiefs/Town Agents have collected, compiled, and analyzed data on Parish/community profiling as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines.</p> <p>Maximum score is 2</p>	<p>Evidence that all the Parishes/Wards in a LLG have compiled, updated, and analyzed data on community profiling disaggregated by village, gender, age, economic activity among others as stipulated in the PDM Guidelines, score 2 else score 0.</p>	2	<p>Parish data was disseminated by planning unit, the data that was disseminated was for the population projections and household projections for census 2014-2030 and 2015-2021 respectively .the population on animal census from the veterinary officer was disseminated</p>
3	<p>The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and PDCs on strategies for the development of the parish</p> <p>Maximum score is 6</p>	<p>Evidence that the LLG:</p> <p>i. Has mapped NGOs, CBOs & CSO operating in the LLG and involved them in raising awareness about the PDM and planning cycle: score 2, or else 0</p>	2	<p>The NGOs, CBOs & CSO mapping report for the previous FY was complied by CDO on 8th /3/22021 and the report was submitted to the SAS.</p> <p>The Subcounty held a PDM awareness and sensitization meetings in each of the 3 parishes. The sensitization meetings were attended by a number of CBOs and NGOs amongst them included UWA pearl dairies as was seen on the minutes that took place on 12/3/2022 with the attendance list attached</p> <p>A report on the awareness creation was also done by community development officer were CBOs and NGOs participated in planning of the sub county and parishes at large by following government projects, programs and funds especially the UWA funds as compensation to the sub county from lake Mburo national park</p>

The LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY 2022/2023 as was seen on the letter dated 18/10/2021 from SAS .

This was a letter informing the parish chiefs to engage PDC and village executive committee on conducting participatory planning meeting at the parish level where they will identify projects from villages .After the meeting the priorities from each parish came as follows

Rwabarata parish

Completion of sub county hall

Gravity water

Construction of 2 stance VIP pit latrine at rwemikunyu

Grading ,culverting and shaping rwabarata-rwembirizi community access road

Grading ,culverting and shaping rwabarata-kakagate community access road

Nombe I parish

Extension of solar piped water

Rehabiritation of Nyakigando community dam

Reparing borehall of rwemikunyu

Rwamuhuku parish

Opening and shaping of rwamuhuku-minekye CAR

Fencing of rwamuhuku dam

Classroom block construction at Kikatsi P/S

Gradiing of Kiribwa-kyakategyera CAR

Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:

ii. Approved Programmes/activities to be implemented within the Parish for the current FY score 2, else score 0

2

There was evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish. The SAS communicated to all village executive committees and all PDCs on the priority enterprise in a letter titled "Re-priority enterprises for Sanga sub county" dated 24/9/2021 which was on file and a copy to the noticeboard

Only two projects were chosen in all the submissions from parishes as priorities

Partial completion of sub county community hall/offices

Grading and shaping of Rwabarata-rwembirizi community access road.

The reports on follow up on the enterprises by executive ,TPC such as field demos and site meetings were also

Evidence that the LLG provided guidance and information to the Village Executive Committees and to PDCs on:

iii. Priority enterprises that can be implemented in the parish score 2 or else 0

2

provided as evidence for this.

The LLG was compliant.

Assessment area: B. Planning and Budgeting

4

The LLG conducted Annual Planning and Budgeting exercise for the current FY as per the Planning and Budgeting Guidelines

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:

i. Is consistent with the LLG approved development plan III; score 1 or else 0

1

The approved budget and annual workplan had two projects i.e Opening and grading of Rwabarata-Rwembirizi (3km) Community access road at 7,184,369= and partial completion of the subcounty council hall at 7,948,859=. These projects were also appearing in the Subcounty five year development plan on Page 5.

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:

ii. Incorporates ranked priorities from all its respective parish submissions which are duly signed by the Parish Chief and PDC Chairperson score 1 or else 0.

1

There was evidence of ranked priorities from all parish submissions as indicated below; Rwabarata, Rwamukoto and Nombe I Parishes submitted on 16/08/2021, 7/08/2021 and 23/08/2021 respectively

Evidence that prioritized investments in the LLG council approved Annual Work plan and Budget (AWPB) for the current FY:

iii. Is based on the outcomes of the budget conference; score 1 or else 0

1

The budget conference report was seen dated 25/10/2021. The report shows evidence of discussing parish priorities and resolve to budget for Opening and grading of Rwabarata-Rwembirizi (3km) Community access road and partial completion of the subcounty council hall

iv. That the LLG budget include investments to be financed by the LLG score 1 or else 0

1

The approved budget and annual workplan has two investments to be financed and these are Opening and grading of Rwabarata-Rwembirizi (3km) Community access road at 7,184,369= and partial completion of the subcounty council hall

v. Evidence that the LLG developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and Budget as per format in NDP III Score 1 or else score 0

1

The Subcounty developed project profiles for all capital investments in the AWP and budget as per format in NP III

vi. That the LLG budget was submitted to the District/Municipality/City before

1

The approved budget and AWP was submitted to the

5	Procurement planning for the current FY: submission of request for procurement Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the LLG prepared and submitted inputs into the procurement plan for all the procurements to be done in a LLG for the current FY) to the CAO/TC by the 30th April of the previous FY, Score 2 or else score 0	2	The Subcounty procurement plan was submitted to CAO on 29/04/2022
6	Compliance of the LLG budget to DDEG investment menu for the current FY Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the investments in the approved LLG Budget for the current FY comply with the investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines, score 2 or else score 0	2	Partial completion of the subcounty council hall is on the positive list of investment menu in the DDEG Grant, Budget and Implementation Guidelines
Assessment area: C. Own Source Revenue Mobilization and Administration				
7	LLG collected local revenue as per budget (Budget realization) Maximum score is 1	Evidence that the LLG collected OSR for the previous FY within +/- 10% of the budget score 1 or else score 0.	1	The approved local revenue was 27,210,708= and actual collections were 27,210,708. This was in the range of +/- 10% of the budget.
8	Increase in LLG own source revenues from last financial year but one to last financial year. Maximum score 1	Evidence that the OSR collected increased from previous FY but one to previous FY by more than 5 %, score 1 or else score 0	0	Actual own source revenue collection for FY 2021/2022 was 27,210,708= and actual own source revenue collection for FY 2020/2021 was 26,780,955=. This shows an increment of 1.6%.
9	The LLG has properly managed and used OSR collected in the previous FY Maximum score 4	Evidence that the LLG: i. Has remitted OSR to the administrative units, score 1 or else score 0.	0	There was no evidence seen
		Evidence that the LLG:		

ii. Did not use more than 20% of the OSR on councilors allowances in the previous FY (unless authority was granted by the Minister), score 1, else score 0

1

The actual own source revenue collections for FY 2020/2021 and this means the 20% is 5,356,191=. The amount spent on councilors allowances in FY 2021/2022 is 4,368,000= which is less than the 20%

Evidence that the LLG:

iii. Have budgeted and used OSR funds on operational and maintenance in previous FY, score 1, else score 0

0

No evidence seen

Evidence that the LLG:

iv. Publicised the OSR and how it was used for the previous FY, score 1, else score 0.

1

The evidence was on the subcounty notice board.

Assessment area: D. Financial Management

10

The LLG submitted annual financial statements for the previous FY on time

Evidence that the LLG submitted its Annual Financial Statement to the Auditor General (AG) on time (i.e., by August 31), score 4 or else score 0

4

The Sub county final accounts were submitted to OAG on 25/08/2022.

Maximum score is 4

11

The LLG has submitted all 4 quarterly financial and physical progress reports including finances for the Parish Development Model (PDM), for the previous FY on time and in the prescribed format

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

1

Financial and physical progress report for Q1 FY 2021/2022 was submitted on 3/10/2021

i. Q1 by 15th October score 1 or else 0

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

1

Financial and physical progress report for Q2 FY 2021/2022 was submitted on 06/01/2022

ii. Q2 by 15th January score 1 or else 0

Evidence that the LLG submitted

all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

1

Financial and physical progress report for Q3 FY 2021/2022 was submitted on 06/04/2022

iii. Q3 by 15th April score 1 or else 0

Evidence that the LLG submitted all four quarterly financial and physical progress reports, for the previous FY to the LG Accounting Officer including on the funding for the PDM on time:

3

Financial and physical progress report for Q4 including PDM FY 2021/2022 was submitted on 20/07/2022

iv. Q4 by 30th July score 3 or else 0

Assessment area: E. Human Resources Management for Improved Service Delivery

12

Appraisal of all staff in the LLG in the previous FY

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:

(i) All staff in the LLG including extension workers in the previous FY (by 30th June): score 2 or else 0

2

The LLG has 8 staff and all were appraised by 30/6/2022. Three are sampled; Natukunda Phionah, Tushabe Naboth, Muhanguzi Daniel were appraised on 30/6/2022, 29/6/2022 and 29/6/2022 respectively

Staff list of 8 staffs stamped by SAS

Staff structure of 8 staffs out of 18 approved establishment.

Performance plans for the staff stamped by the subcounty chief seen.

Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:

(ii) Primary School Head teachers in public primary schools in the previous school calendar year (by 31st December) – score 2 or else 0

2

The LLG has two schools and the headteacher were appraised as follows; Musinguzi Vicent on 28/12/2021 and Nuwagaba Robert on 29/12/2021.

Evidence that the SAS/Town Clerk appraised staff in the LLG:

(iii) HC III & II In-charges in the previous FY (by June 30th) – score 2 or else 0

0

The LLG has one health facility and the facility in charge was not appraised.

13

Staff duty attendance

Maximum score is 6

Evidence that the LLG has

(i) Publicized the list of LLG staff: score 3 or else 0

3

Staff list of 8 staffs stamped by SAS

Staff structure of 8 staffs out of 18 approved establishment.

Performance plans for the staff stamped by the subcounty chief seen. Staff list of 8 staffs and all published on the notice board.

Evidence that the LLG has

(ii) Produced monthly analysis of staff attendance with recommendations to CAO/TC score 3 or else 0

3

There was a daily attendance register from 4/1/2021 to 26/9/2022.

Monthly staff analysis for 12 months seen.

Assessment area: F. Implementation and Execution

14
The LLG has spent all the DDEG funds for the previous FY on eligible projects/activities

Maximum score is 2

Evidence that the LLG budgeted and spent all the DDEG for the previous FY on eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines: Score 2, or else score 0

2

The Completion of the sub county council hall is on the eligible projects/ activities as per the DDEG grant, budget, and implementation guidelines.

15
The LLG spent the funds as per budget

Maximum score is 2

Evidence that the execution of budget in the previous FY does not deviate for any of the sectors/main programs by more than +/-10%: Score 2

2

The deviation of the expenditure from the approved AWP and budget was 0.8%

16
Completion of investments as per annual work plan and budget

Maximum score is 3

Evidence that the investment projects planned in the previous FY were completed as per work plan by end of FY (quarter four) :

If more than 90 % was completed: Score 3

If 70% -90%: Score 2

If less than 70 %: Score 0.

3

The planned activities were all completed as planned.

Assessment area: G. Environmental and Social Safeguards

17
The LLG has implemented environmental and social safeguards during the previous FY

Maximum score

Evidence that the LLG carried out environmental, social and climate change screening where required, prior to implementation of all planned investments/ projects, score 2 or else score 0

2

The LLG carried out Environmental, social and climate change screening

Screening form for construction Sub county HQ dated 18/09/2021 and ESMP dated 18/09/2022 signed by the EFP Asiimwe and DEO Muhumuza Samuel

Screening for Grading and shaping of Community access road dated 5/09/2021 and ESMP dated 24/09/2022 signed by the EFP and DEO respectively

is 2

Environment and social Certification for construction of Sub county headquarter dated 29/10/2021

18

The LLG has an Operational Grievance Handling System

Maximum score is 2

(i) If the LLG has specified a system for recording, investigating and responding to grievances, which includes a designated a person to coordinate response to feed-back, complaints log book with clear information and reference for onward action, a defined complaints referral path, and public display of information at LLG offices score 1 or else 0

1

Grievance redress mechanism seen with clear flow of complaint and feed back prepared by Community development Officer on **22/5/2022 and hanged on the notice board.**

Grievance log book seen with 14 cases registered in the Previous FY, 10 cases closed and 4 cases pending.

Report on GReivance handling dated 25/5/2022 in place and meeting on conflict handling and formation dynamics held as per the report dated 03/10/2021

Referral Done to the DCDO dated 19/05/2022.

(ii) If the LLG has publicized the grievance redress mechanisms so that aggrieved parties know where to report and get redress score 1 or else 0

1

Grievance redress mechanism seen with clear flow of complaint and feed back prepared by Community development Officer on 22/5/2022 and hanged on the LLG notice board.

19

The LLG has a functional land management system

Maximum score 1

If the LLG has a functional Area Land committee in place to assist the LG Land board in an advisory capacity on matters relating to land, including ascertaining rights on the land score 1 or else 0

1

Appointment letters for Area land Committees seen

the committee was appointed under minute KFC/5/4/3/21 of the District Council and appointed by the Subcounty chairperson on 28/09/2021. five members appointed

Kyomuhendo Jovlet

Rusoroza Enos

kyomuhangi Sylvia

Bwengye Alex

Kasozi Amos

the Minutes of the committee dated 02/12/2021 in place signed by the SAS as the secretary to the committee and Chairperson Bwengye Alex, 25/04/2022 signed by the Chairperson and Secretary. two sets of minutes seen.

Assessment area: H. Basic (Pre & Primary) Education services Management (in public and private schools)

20

Awareness campaigns and mobilization on education services conducted in last FY

Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and parent's mobilization for improvement of education service

3

LLG had conducted awareness campaigns and parents' mobilization for improvement of education service delivery as seen in minutes of the meeting held on 06/01/2022. Parents and community were sensitized in preparation for school opening with attendance list attached. The training

	Maximum score is 3	delivery score 3, else score 0		content is attached with details against early girl child marriages.
21	Monitoring of service delivery in basic schools Maximum score is 4	Evidence that the LLG has monitored schools at least once per term in the previous 3 terms and produced a list of issues requiring attention of the committee responsible for education of the LLG council in the previous FY: If all schools (100%) - score 4 If 80 – 99% – score 2 If 60 to 79% score 1 Below 60% score 0	4	The LLG monitored schools on 23/05/2022 and 16/03/22 school reports with a list of issues requiring attention of committee responsible for education of the LLG council. Rwemikunyu Primary school was monitored on 20/05/2022 and 31/03/2022. Kikaatsi primary school was monitored on 23/05/2022 and 16/03/2022. All schools were monitored including privates as per the monitoring report on a file with general committee to respond to by the executive as of May 26, 2022.
22	Existence and functionality of School Management Committees Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG have functional school management committees in all schools; score 3, else score 0	3	The LLG has functional school management committee in all schools, The reviewed meeting minutes held on 28th January 15th 19th may 2022. Kikaatsi primary held an SMC and PTA joint meeting held on 20/01/2022 and the attached action plan term-Term 1 2022. SMC and PTA joint meeting held on 19/05/2022 with attached action plan for term II -2022. The school selected committee under min 8/1/2022 of the general meeting held on 31/01/2022 of 13 SMC members and 7 PTA members. Another meeting held on 05/03/2021 for SMC and PTA with attached action plan term 1-2021 Rwemikunyu SMC handover meeting held on 16/05/2022 with respective action plan and extent of the implementation. SMC and PTA joint meeting held 28/01/2022 and action plan term 1 2022. SMC has 12 members as per appointment in a report of 24/03/2022.
Assessment area: I. Primary Health Care Services Management				
23	Awareness campaigns and mobilization on primary health care conducted in last FY Maximum score is 3	Evidence that the LLG has conducted awareness campaigns and mobilized communities for improved primary health care service delivery score 3, else score 0	3	LLG has conducted awareness campaigns on community sensitization about covid 19 prevention and vaccination, also on community sanitation and hygiene in Rwabarata 30/06/2022 with attendance list and Rwamuhuku 30/05/2022. There was an activity report on training community about their responsibility and roles of the water user committee in Rwembaya village held on 30/02/2022. All awareness reports had pictorials attached.
24	The LLG monitored health			A report on inspection of school health activities done on

service delivery at least twice during the previous FY
 Evidence that LLG monitored aspects of health service delivery during the previous FY , score 4 or else score 0
 Maximum score is 4

30/03/2022 with a pictorial. There was also a report during the month of September on disease surveillance and health Promotion activities dated 30/11/2021. There were also home visit reports in all parishes as indicated in the report done 30/9/2021. A report on monitoring and supervision of health management on 6/04/2022. A report on monitoring and supervision of health management at RWABARATA HEALTH CENTRE 111.

25
 Existence and functionality of Health Unit Management Committee
 Evidence that the LLG have functional Health unit Management Committee for all Health Facilities in the LLG; score 3, else score 0
 Maximum score is 3

The HUMC composes of 8 members
 HUMIC meeting held on 10/6/2022 with action plan and participants list. Another set was done on 2/2/2022. 20/10/2021 and 2/8/2021 with extent of implementation and action plan.

Assessment area: J. Water & Environment Services Management

26
 Evidence that the LLGs submitted requests to the DWO for consideration in the current FY budgets
 Evidence that the SAS submitted in writing requests to the DWO for consideration in the planning of the current FY score 3, else score 0
 Maximum score is 3

The SAS submitted request for water sources to CAO for sources at Rwabarata HCIII, Sub county HQ, Nyakigando A, Nyakigando B and Rwamareebe and Kaburegyeya dated 27/5/2022 and received by CAO on 26/5/2022.
 a request for RWabarata HCIII dated 08/7/2022 by Kyahirwe Hedwig Health in-charge, Phionah Asiimwe H/A, SAS, HUMIC and received by the DWO on 21/07/2022. Only one request was recieved.

27
 The LLG has monitored water and environment services delivery during the previous FY
 Evidence that SAS/ATC monitored/supervised aspects of water and environment services during the previous FY including review of water points and facilities, score 3 or else score 0
 Maximum score is 3

Quarterly monitoring and supervision done as per the reports
 Report for Q2 dated 30/12/2021 signed by the HA and received by the DWO on 30/12/2021
 Report for Q4 dated 01/07/2022 signed by the HA and received by the DWO on 30/12/2021
 Report for Q3 dated 30/09/2021 signed by the HA and received by the DWO on 30/12/2021
 Two report were seen with information on the status and functionality of both old and new sources.

28
 Existence and functionality of Water and Sanitation Committees
 Evidence that the LLG have functional Water and Sanitation Committees (including collection and proper use of community
 Maximum score is 2

Water user committees in place
 Minekye Borehole- Reactivation report in place dated 30/06/2022 recieved by the DWO on 01/07/2022 prepared by Asimwe Phiona.
 Minutes of the committees dated 24/06/2022 for minekye Borehole in place

contributions) score 2, else score 0

Rwamuhuku borehole reactivation report in place dated 12/3/2022 and submitted to the DWO

List of Water user committees for Rwabarata source well trained with report in place dated 27/06/2022

29	Functionality of investments in water and sanitation facilities Maximum score is 2	Evidence that the SAS has an updated lists on all its water and sanitation facilities (public latrines) and functionality status. Score 2 else 0	2	A report by the SAS to DWO on all water sources in place dated 04/5/2022 prepared by the Health Assistant and received by the DWO and CAOs office on 04/5/2022. sources include Rwemikunyu Borehole, Minekye Borehole, Rwembaya Borehole, Rwembirizi Borehole, Kiribwa Borehole, Rwamuhuku Borehole, Kyakategyere borehole, and rwabarata water source.
----	---	--	---	---

Assessment area: L. Production Services Management

34	Up to date data on agriculture and irrigation collected, analyzed and reported Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff have collected, analyzed and reported data on agriculture (i.e., crop, animal and fisheries) and irrigation activities including production statistics for key commodities, data on irrigated land, farmer applications, farm visits etc. as per formats, the reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.	2	The extension staff provided data on fisheries compiled on 30th jun, and submitted to LG production on 30th june 22. Data on crop production including key commodities like banana, coffee, maize and selected enterprises, marketing information, was compiled and submitted to LG Production on 30th june. In animal sector, production statistics report on livestock data was compiled and submitted to LG Production office.
----	--	--	---	--

35	Farmer awareness and mobilization campaigns carried out through farmer field days and awareness meetings Maximum score is 2	If the LLG has carried out awareness and mobilization campaigns on all aspects of agriculture through farmer field days and awareness meetings, exchange visits, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0	2	Awareness report on irrigation adoption, vaccination against PPR in goats and sheep, advisory of farmers on control of TBD'S, FMD and vaccination, advisory on disease diagnosis, control and prevention at HH, fish markets, formation of fish farmers, quality assurance of fish at landing site, with attendance lists, Distribution lists for agricultural inputs, PPR vaccine, fertilizer and FMD vaccine were all compiled and submitted to LG Production office.
----	--	---	---	--

36	The LLG has carried out monitoring activities on production activities for crops, animals and fisheries Maximum score is 2	If the LLG extension staff has implemented monitoring activities on agricultural production for crops, animal and fisheries covering among others irrigation, environmental safeguards, agricultural mechanization, postharvest handling, pests and	0	Monitoring and surveillance of FMD for June, agriculture service monitoring for june, March, jan, OWC inputs to HH for may, monitoring fish farming projects for April, monitoring herd health practice for Feb, landing site monitoring and inspection for jan, Nov, July 21, monitoring fish markets for Dec, monitoring demonstration sites for Oct, fishing status for sept, post-harvest fish handling for Aug, report on FMD for july, were all compiled and submitted to LG Production The supervisory reports from SAS were compiled and
----	---	---	---	---

disease surveillance, equipment installations, farmers implementing knowledge from trainings, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

submitted to LG Production office

The extension staff provided the monthly monitoring reports, however, according to the guiding manual, each staff should have monthly monitoring reports making a total of 36, thus they could not score on monthly monitoring reports.

37

Farmer trainings through training farmer field schools and demonstrations organized and carried out

Maximum score is 2

If the LLG extension staff has carried out farmer trainings on irrigated agriculture, agronomy, pests and diseases management, operation and maintenance of equipment, linkage to markets etc. through for example farmer field schools, demonstrations, and field training sessions, reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0.

2

Training programs for crop and animal were consolidated and submitted to LG production, Fisheries annual work plan was compiled and submitted to LG Production office

Training reports on irrigation status, improved fish farming, establishment of fish farming demo, fertilizer application, banana rust thrips control, demonstration sites for animal,

Each training report had the attendance sheet.

38

The LLG has provided hands-on extension support to farmers and farmer organizations / groups

Maximum score is 2

If the LLG extension staff have provided extension support to farmers and farmer groups on crop management, aquaculture, animal husbandry, irrigation, Operation and Maintenance of equipment, postharvest handling, value addition, marketing etc. reports compiled and submitted to LG Production Office score 2 or else 0

2

Reports on Farmer field visit and capacity building, advisory visits to fish famers, disease diagnosis, control and prevention at HH, were all compiled and submitted to LG production office.

Sampled one of the famers in the names of Erick Sabiti on 0782761338 and confirmed that he was provided with extension knowledge regarding capacity building on banana plantation from the Agriculture Officer,

Sampled Wasswa James the focal person for the RUCODA cage fish farming group on 0754941699 and confirmed that he was provided with extension knowledge regarding fish farming practices from Fisheries Officer.

In Animal, sampled, a farmer in names of Mandera Kayego on 0705809623 and also confirmed that he received extension support from Ass. Vet Officer on bull fattening

The Extension diaries were not provided by the Ministry and information from the LG Production Office, they are being revised and they will be replaced with e-diaries.